**11 June 2024**

**Day One**

**Minutes from CSCN 129 Opening Session**

**In-person/Conference Call**

**Hosted by Videotron**

**In-Person:** David Comrie - COMsolve Inc. (CNA)

Kelly T. Walsh - COMsolve Inc. (CNA)

Natalie Ann Lessard - COMsolve Inc. (CNA)

Glenn Pilley - CNAC

Ed Antecol - COMsolve Inc.

Ofir Smadja - COMsolve Inc.

Karen Robinson - KROB Numbering Solutions

Melanie Cardin - Quebecor

Gabriel Picard Mandeville - Quebecor

Michael Studniberg - Rogers

Martin Laroche - TELUS

Jean-Sebastien Tremblay - Videotron

Marc Berruyer - Videotron

**Conference Bridge:** Fiona Clegg - COMsolve Inc. (CNA)

Stephen Walsh - COMsolve Inc. (CNA)

John Nakamura - 10X People / INC Co-Chair

Jeanne Bell - Allstream/Zayo

Joey-Lynn Abdulkader - Bell Canada

Wanda Mali - Bell Canada

Leo Santoro - Bell Mobility

Anamika Bharti - Cogeco

Alexander Pittman - CRTC staff

Étienne Robelin - CRTC staff

Sarah Reilly - Distributel

Tara Farquhar - NANPA

Jennifer Mack - Rogers

Tammy Wilson - SaskTel

Allyson Blevins - Sinch / INC Co-Chair

Diane Dolan - Teksavvy

John MacKenzie - TELUS

Olena Bilozerska - TELUS

James Sewell - Westman Communications

**TIF 112 (Address assignment rate of Non-Geographic (6YY) CO Codes)**

Kelly Walsh noted that CNRE138B had been submitted to the CISC for consideration.

Kelly Walsh noted that there was an outstanding item that needs to be discussed for TIF 112 regarding expanding non-geographic numbering to 1+12-digits or 1+14-digits.

Kelly Walsh asked if there were any volunteers to start working on the follow-up report for TIF 112. Martin Laroche volunteered to write an initial submission. Karen Robinson noted that she will offer to help.

Kelly Walsh asked if there were any other comments or questions related to TIF 112.

Ed Antecol noted that as a general comment, it seemed like the general consensus was to ultimately go to 1+14-digit but the issue was about whether or not to do it with 1+12-digits as an interim step and using the D-digit as an indicator.

John MacKenzie noted that his memory was that 1+12 was noted to exceed the current forecast exhaust for Non-Geographic numbers in Canada but it would be less work to go straight to 1+14. It is currently unknown about who will have problems transitioning to 1+14-digits.

Kelly Walsh noted that there are currently only 6 assignees for the Non-Geographic codes.

John MacKenzie noted that what we need is contributions. Can the customers do 1+14-digits? Can the carriers do 1+14-digits? The Commission would probably like any facts or data that the CSCN can provide.

Glenn Pilley noted that there was a presentation given by Justen Davis regarding using subordinate numbers for IoT.

Kelly Walsh noted that Richard Shockey spoke about his belief that, in the US, he did not believe there was an appetite to go to 1+12-digits and that it seemed people were likely going to suggest going straight to 1+14-digits regarding NANP expansion.

John MacKenzie asked if the CRTC might be able to ask the carriers about their opinions on 1+12-digits vs 1+14-digits. Étienne Robelin noted that the CRTC staff have a mechanism to do that but they will need to have something to base their question on.

John MacKenzie noted that it would be a good opportunity to get feedback on the issue as well as tracking the discussion in the US.

**Summary of Agreements Reached**

None

**Summary of Action Items**

None

**Attachments**

None