**4 April 2019**

**Review of January 2019 NRUF Results**

**Joint CSCN & RPC Conference Call**

**Participants:** David Comrie – COMsolve Inc. (CNA)

Edward Antecol – COMsolve Inc. (CNA)

Suresh Khare – COMsolve Inc. (CNA)

Fiona Clegg – COMsolve Inc. (CNA)

Ofir Smadja – COMsolve Inc.

Gerry Thompson – Rogers Communications

Joe Cabrera – CRTC staff

Olena Bilozerska – TELUS

Laurie Bowie – Bell Canada

Diane Dolan – Teksavvy

Judy Kachuik – SaskTel

Anita Johnson – SaskTel

Albert Yin – Comwave

Paul Fleming – City West Cable

James Sewell – Westman Communications

Marc Presseault – Distributel

Barry Stone – Quadro Telecom

Priyantha Kumara – Wightman

Jacques Brazeau – Bell Canada

Lin Xu – Bell Canada

Vince Yelle – Groupe Maskatel

Claude Tambu – FGL Telecom

Elizabeth Tse – TbayTel

Lucie Pugliese – Telecommunications Alliance

Sherrie Thorne – ModernWorks Inc.

Anita Johnson – SaskTel

Ron Wagner – SaskTel

Ian Couch – TbayTel

Peter Szabo - TELUS

**Welcome:**

Edward Antecol, the CSCN Chair and the RPC Chair welcomed the attendees, and the participants introduced themselves.

**Discussion:**

Suresh Khare presented the January 2019 NRUF results.

During this presentation, a participant indicated concern about the advancement of the Projected Exhaust Date (PED) for NPA complexes 226/519/548 and 416/437/647

| **NPA** | **2019 PED** | **PED Change** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 204-431 | Jul-2026 | Delayed 4 Months |
| 226-519-548 | Nov-2026 | Advanced 26 Months |
| 236-250-604-778 | Sep-2020 | Delayed 6 Months |
| Sep-2026 | Advanced 16 Months |
| Feb-2034 | Advanced 42 Months |
| Jul-2041 |   |
| 249-705 | Jul-2025 | Advanced 11 Months |
| 289-365-905 | Jun-2022 | Delayed 7 Months |
| Oct-2031 | Delayed 2 Months |
| 306-639 | May-2022 | Advanced 4 Months |
| 343-613 | Dec-2023 | Delayed 16 Months |
| Dec-2036 | Delayed 10 Months |
| 367-418-581 | Nov-2029 |   |
| 403-587-780-825 | Jun-2022 | Delayed 3 Months |
| Jul-2029 | Advanced 10 Months |
| Feb-2037 |   |
| 416-437-647 | Jan-2024 | Advanced 37 months |
| Jan-2033 |   |
| 438-514 | Oct-2023 | Advanced 27 months |
| Aug-2037 |   |
| 450-579 | Jun-2024 | Delayed 39 Months |
| Jul-2038 | Delayed 37 Months |
| 506 | Aug-2022 | Advanced 1 Month |
| 709 | Aug-2023 | Delayed 3 Months |
| 782-902 | Apr-2034 | Delayed 13 months |
| 807 | Beyond 2042 |   |
| 819-873 | Oct-2025 | Advanced 14 months |
| 867 | Aug-2042 | Delayed 38 months |

Laurie Bowie indicated that she will be creating a contribution to have the Canadian NPA Relief Planning Guideline revised again to recommend a shorter relief planning period for overlay NPAs. This should mitigate the amount of time that is spent in relief planning when an NPA is fluctuating around the relief planning window.

It was noted that the PED for NPA 450/579 has moved out by 39 months. In response, Laurie Bowie specified that she is working on a contribution proposing deferral of the Relief Date for NPA 450/579.

Edward Antecol noted that he supports a change in the Relief Date for NPA 450/579 but verified that there is underlying data to support that the delay is real and that this data has been discussed with CRTC staff.

Gerry Thompson raised a question about whether the regulatory process of making a change to the Relief Date must be determined. For example, would this qualify as a Review and Vary, or would it go through the CISC process? In response, it was noted that in NPA 709, the change in the Relief Date had been addressed via the CISC process.

***Post Meeting Note****: Fiona went back through the historical documentation of some of the Relief Planning activities where the Relief Date has been changed. Some of the changes have been made using the R&V method and some have been made by submitting TIF Reports to the CISC.*

It was noted that the latest version of the Canadian NPA Relief Planning Guideline has been approved. As a result, a question was raised concerning the process to be used while providing relief for NPA 289/365/905 and NPA 343/613 (i.e., a PROC or Relief Implementation Plan).

It was noted that, regardless of the process, NPA 289/365/905 should be addressed before NPA 343/613.

Bell Canada expressed a preference for PROCs as opposed to RIPs.

A participant asked about the communications activities in NPA 450/579 and whether they had begun. Lucie Pugliese replied that they had not.

Agreement was reached that the January 2019 NRUF results appear reasonable.

The CNA will forward copies of the January 2019 NRUF results to NANPA.

**Format of July 2019 R-NRUF:**

A suggestion was made that the July 2019 R-NRUF should be conducted at the NPA level of detail as opposed to at the Exchange Area level of detail. It was noted that, since the Canadian NPA Relief Planning Guideline has been updated, there will generally be distributed overlays and more granular data does not serve any planning purpose for relief planning.

Agreement was reached that the July 2019 R-NRUFs would be performed at the NPA level of detail.

As an aside, it was identified that there will be 11 R-NRUFs. A participant noted that the requests will go out in the June timeframe, and the CNA will develop different forms to accompany the requests.

**Summary of Agreements Reached:**

Agreement was reached that the January 2019 NRUF results appear reasonable.

Agreement was reached that the July 2019 R-NRUFs would be performed at the NPA level of detail.

**Summary of Action Items:**

The CNA will forward copies of the January 2019 NRUF results to NANPA.

The CNA will develop new R-NRUF forms at the NPA level of detail to be used for the July 2019 R-NRUF.

**Attachments:**

****

January 2019 G-NRUF results



January 2019 R-NRUF results